LABOR COMPLIANCE PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT

Suggested Format for Awarding Body that enforces its own Labor Compliance Program for some but not all projects

Report for the reporting period: November 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010

1. Name of Labor Compliance Program (LCP): Alameda County Public Works Agency (ACPWA) Labor Compliance Program					
2. LCP I.D. Number (assigned by DIR):	3. Date of Initial Approval:				
2003.00354	November 6, 2003				
4. Contact person (include name, title, address, telephone, fax, and e-mail	, if available):				
Moses de los Reyes – Contract & Labor Compliance Administrator –	- 951 Turner Court, Hayward, CA 94545				
(510) 670-5243 – (510) 670-5269 – mosesd@acpwa.org					
5. Did LCP perform any LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities during the 1 Please check one: X If Yes, proceed to item 6 on the next page No If No, complete the information below	2 months in the reporting period? 7, sign the form and submit to DIR, Office of the Director, Attn: LCP Special Assistant,				
	455 Golden Gate Avenue, 10th Floor, San Francisco CA 94102				
necessary) Continue conducting free seminars on the latest updates on DIR rules and regular	to better assist you with your program in the coming year? (attach additional sheets if tions. Alameda County Public Works Agency has been holding free seminars in partnership with DIR are excellent resource speakers. The DIR Director was our guest speaker last year.				

CTI	DA.	A TT	TEL	BY:
	KIV		4 H.E.	KY.

Moses de los Reyes

Signature

Moses de los Reyes – Contract & Labor Compliance Administrator

Name and Title

August 6, 2010

Date

RECEIVED

AUG 9 2010

Department of Industrial Relations Office of the Director (S.F.)

							□ Yes □	- No		
Total			a de la companya de					College		
. For any amoun	t identified in iter	n B for which	approval of fo	rfeiture not rec	quested from t	he Labor Commi	ssioner, please	e explain below.		
Proje	ct Name	Amount	Assessed	Amount Explanation						
	,								····	
Total										
). For any amoun	t identified in iter	n B for which	approval of fo	rfeiture was re	quested from	the Labor Comm	issioner, pleas	se provide the fo	llowing:	
Project		Amount	Assessed				Amount Recovered			
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Tota
Total									0	0
. Identify cases t	hat are or were th	e subject of L	C § 1742 proce	edings. None						
Project Name			Contractor		Nature of Violation		ODL Case #		Current Status	
-	ny contractor to t	he Labor Com	missioner for o	debarment per	LC § 1777.1?	' No				
Please check one:	□ Yes	No		1. () 5. 6						
if yes, identify	affected contract	or(s) or subcor	ntractor(s) and	date(s) of refe	rral:					
3. Did you refer a	ny apprenticeshi	p violation to t	he Division of	Apprenticesh	ip Standards (DAS)? No				
Please check one:	Yes No			• •	-	•				

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary).

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
1926 – The Improvement of Lewelling Boulevard and East Lewelling Boulevard Phase I	8/7/09	Gallagher & Burk, Inc.	\$10,219,893
1903 – The Relocation of Vasco Road from the Vicinity of Mileposts 3.30 to 4.3 Murray Township, Alameda County	2/19/08	Teichert Construction	\$10,633,212
2127 – The Repair of Damaged and Displaced Sections of Curbs, Gutters, and Sidewalks in Eden Township, Alameda County	1/28/09	A-1 Septic Construction	\$57,972
2063 – Hampton Road Improvement between Meekland and Mission Boulevard	8/12/09	Bay Cities Paving & Grading, Inc.	\$2,511,398
2122 - Fruitvale Avenue Bridge Dolphin Files	5/5/09	Power Engineering Contractors	\$1,699,969
2132 - Redwood Road Pavement Rehabilitation	8/5/09	MCK Services	\$1,353,548
2133 - Altamont Pass Pavement Rehabilitation	8/25/09	Teichert Construction	\$1,192,670
2143 - Bockman Road Pavement Rehabilitation	1/8/2010	Gallagher & Burk, Inc.	\$550.000
TOTAL			\$28,218,662

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered. No penalties assessed because all violations were corrected by violating contractors.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
				Γ Yes Γ No	
				Г Yes Г No	
				□ Yes □ No	
				□ Yes □ No	
				□ Yes □ No	